

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,341	03/23/2004	Hong-Jae Shin	403013/TAKADA	2195
23548	3548 7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			CLARK, JASMI	NE JHIHAN B
SUITE 300	ZEIVIII DI. IVW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960		2815	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,341	HONG-JAE SHIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jasmine J. Clark	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2005.					
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3, and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, and 10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Hung et al. (US 2003/0020163 A1). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Specification

2. Claims 1-3, and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 3, add --of-- between "the circuit region" and "a substrate". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hung et al. (US 2003/0020163 A1).

Hung discloses a semiconductor device having a pad region (see Fig. 2C) and a circuit region (see page 2, column 2, para [0029], line 5) comprising: dielectric layers 204, 206, 210, 212, and 216 of, for example a hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) (see page 3, column 1, line 15, in para [0029]) which has a low-k dielectric constant (HSQ has a low-k ~ 2.9) which is no more than 3; an insulating film 230 on the low k dielectric film of the pad region comprises a silicon dioxide layer (see page 3, column 2, line 4, in para [0031]), where the silicon dioxide has a higher strength than the low –k dielectric film; multi-layer wirings, for example 202, 208, and 214 on the simulating film of the pad region and the low-k dielectric film of the circuit region; and a bonding pad 228 on an outer wiring of the multilayer wirings of the pad region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung et al. (US 2003/0020163 A1).

As per the above discussion, the applied reference disclose having the low-k dielectric, for example a hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ), and also discloses the use of

Art Unit: 2815

the low-k dielectric is not limited. However, the applied reference fails to disclose wherein the low-k dielectric film is an insulating film containing silicon, <u>carbon</u>, oxygen, and hydrogen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the low-k dielectric layer which containing silicon, carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Concerning claim 10, wherein the low-k dielectric film is a polymer film containing hydrogen and carbon, please see *In re Leshin* above.

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applied reference fails to teach and/or suggest wherein sidewalls of the wirings, eg., 202, 208, 214 in the pad region are surrounded by the insulating film 230.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the applied reference fails to disclose and/or suggest having more than one insulating films on each of the multi-layer low-k dielectric films of the pad region, where each of the insulating films having higher strength than each of the multi-layer low-k dielectric films.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,341

Art Unit: 2815

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J. Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/06/05

JASMINE CLARK PRIMARY EXAMINER JAMMUNG BE